

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,587	02/25/2002	Edward J. Gavin	016866-008200US	6008
20350	7590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEN	D AND TOWNSEND	LAU, TUNG S		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				H
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/084,587	GAVIN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tung S. Lau	2863	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	idress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMM 36(a). In no event, however, n rill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to become	UNICATION. nay a reply be timely filed) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Jac</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•	e merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration		
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		d to by the Examiner	
10/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ion is required if the dra	wing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u>□</u> a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have l u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	l. I in Application No been received in this National	Stage
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date See office action.	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 is not proper because prosecution Is Not Closed (action mailed on 07/14/2005), see MPEP 706.07(h)
 [R-3].

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Information Disclosure Statement filed on 01/17/2006 is acknowledged by the examiner; A copy of a signed PTO-1449 attached with this office action.

Double Patenting rejection

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b). Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-100 of U.S. Patent
No. 6,675,104 (copending application 09999081). Although the conflicting claims
are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the
subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the
referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted
on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the
instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: analyzes

Application/Control Number: 10/084,587

Art Unit: 2863

Page 3

mass spectra using a digital computer, the method comprising: a) entering into a digital computer a data set obtained from mass spectra from a plurality of samples, wherein each sample is, or is to be assigned to a class within a class set comprising two or more classes, each class characterized by a different biological status, and wherein each mass spectrum comprises data representing signal strength as a function of time-of-flight, mass-to-charge ratio, or a value derived from time-of-flight or mass-to-charge ratio; and b) forming a classification model which discriminates between the classes in the class set, wherein forming comprises analyzing the data set by executing code that embodies a classification process comprising a recursive partitioning process. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 01/17/2006 have been fully considered and they are not persuasive.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tung S Lau whose telephone number is 571-272-2274. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306

Application/Control Number: 10/084,587

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). TL

John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800

Page 5